Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
09/961,431	JONAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771	

merviow dummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Jenna-Leigh Befumo</u> .	(3)		
(2) Kristin Grueneberg.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 March 2003.			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2	2)∑ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>22-35</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Brehm et al., Midkiff et	al., and Dawson et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed proposed ameadding chemical structure for the super absorbent polymer which shows the Qsap 0.3 values of the superabsorbent management.</u>	endments to overcome rejection to the independent claims as	ons of record inc well as providing	l <u>uding</u> gevidence
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirer	last Office action has already FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APP SUBSTANCE O	LICANT IS

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature if required